

INDICATORS FOR CHILDREN/YOUTH AT RISK OF OR EXPERIENCING JUVENILE JUSTICE INVOLVEMENT

School Discipline

Description of Indicator

School Discipline is measured by the numbers of youths suspended or expelled from school as a result of violent behavior or drug-related activities.

Research Base and Relevance to PEI

There is a strong link between disruptive classroom behaviors, school suspensions and expulsions with later criminal behaviors (Skiba & Peterson, 2000). And researchers suggest that early intervention of low-level disruptive behavior may prevent later delinquency. The social burden of crime committed by youth can be quantified in dollar amounts. For instance, in Pittsburg (with a population one-quarter of Los Angeles County's) it has been estimated to be between \$89-100 million/year (Welsh, Loeber, Stevens, Stouthamer-Loeber, Cohen, & Farrington, 2008). Identifying where disciplinary actions occur may shed light on the neighborhood effect contributing to criminal behavior, though bear in mind that other factors likely influence these figures. Local educational practices and philosophies, for example, undoubtedly shape disciplinary standards.

Minorities and, in particular, African-Americans, are overrepresented in these disciplinary actions when other factors have been controlled. Youths entering the juvenile justice system are more likely to have mental health and behavior problems than youths who have never been arrested (Hirschfield,

Table 3.37

Countywide Summary: School Discipline

	Enroll	Susp	% ¹	Expul	% ²
Service Area 1	82260	7326	8.9	518	0.6
Service Area 2	313430	8589	2.7	154	0.0
Service Area 3	293409	11130	3.8	640	0.2
Service Area 4	175741	4330	2.5	23	0.0
Service Area 5	67747	2027	3.0	18	0.0
Service Area 6	193463	9300	4.8	82	0.0
Service Area 7	272881	9575	3.5	532	0.2
Service Area 8	270382	9325	3.4	219	0.1
Total	1669313	61602	3.7	2185	0.1

1. Suspensions	3-5%
	5-7%
	> 7%
2. Expulsions	> 0.5

Table 3.38

Service Area Communities: School Discipline

Service Area 1	Enroll	Susp	% ¹	Expul	% ²
Lancaster	31039	3456	11.1	265	0.9
Palmdale	35431	2523	7.1	174	0.5
North County E.	15790	1347	8.5	79	0.5
Total	82260	7326	8.9	518	0.6
Service Area 2					
Santa Clarita	17673	272	1.5	0	0.0
Burbank	15328	680	4.4	25	0.2
Glendale	21918	1003	4.6	15	0.1
Northridge	24398	511	2.1	2	0.0
Granada Hills	32056	747	2.3	10	0.0
Pacoima-Arleta	28064	615	2.2	8	0.0
La Tuna Cyn.	24776	441	1.8	0	0.0
Panorama City	20108	436	2.2	4	0.0
North Hollywood	15725	279	1.8	1	0.0
Sherman Oaks	24553	662	2.7	2	0.0
Encino	31129	686	2.2	6	0.0
Woodland Hills	24622	664	2.7	8	0.0
Brentwood N.	8935	134	1.5	1	0.0
North County W.	11554	985	8.5	58	0.5
La Canada-Flintridge	4123	159	3.8	11	0.3
San Fernando-Calabasas-Agoura	8468	315	3.7	4	0.0
Total	313430	8589	2.7	154	0.0

Maschi, White, Traub, & Loeber, 2006).

What the Numbers Show

Overall, 3.7% of students were suspended throughout the county and 0.1% were expelled from their school. Tables 3.37 and 3.38 highlight communities where suspensions and expulsions have occurred at a high rate. Across the county, Service Area 1 had the highest suspension and expulsion rate.

Service Area Communities

Service Area 1: Antelope Valley

Service Area 1 had the highest suspension rate seen across the county with 8.9% of its students excluded from school at some point in time during the year. The Lancaster area had the highest suspension rate in the county at 11.1%, or one out of ten students. Service Area 1 also had the highest expulsion rate throughout the county with 0.6% of its students permanently denied access to their school. In particular, the Lancaster area had the highest expulsion rate in the county with 0.9%, or about one out of one hundred students receiving this action.

Service Area 2: San Fernando

Service Area 2 had an overall suspension rate of 2.7, which was below the countywide rate of 3.7%. Only one community, the North County W. (8.5%), area saw a suspension rate above 5% -- this particular area was also marked by the highest expulsion rate for the service area, (0.5%, or one out of every two hundred students).

Service Area 3: San Gabriel

Service Area 3 had an overall suspension rate of 3.8%, which was close to the countywide

Table 3.38 continued

Service Area Communities: School Discipline

Service Area	Enroll	Susp	% ¹	Expul	% ²
Service Area 3					
Pasadena	3556	130	3.7	6	0.2
El Monte	24722	799	3.2	15	0.1
Pomona	31372	1855	5.9	18	0.1
West Covina	15573	625	4.0	39	0.3
Altadena-Monrovia-Sierra Madre	10978	422	3.8	29	0.3
Alhambra-S. Pasadena	17617	630	3.6	90	0.5
Arcadia-San Gabriel-Temple City-San Marino	29596	761	2.6	62	0.2
Baldwin Park-Azusa-Duarte	31060	1201	3.9	67	0.2
Glendora-Claremont-San Dimas-La Verne	29331	1166	4.0	148	0.5
Covina-Walnut	29667	803	2.7	69	0.2
Diamond Bar	18803	433	2.3	12	0.1
La Puente-S. El Monte	24066	1182	4.9	31	0.1
Hacienda Heights	9289	359	3.9	13	0.1
Monterey Park-Rosemead	17613	750	4.3	41	0.2
Other	165	14	8.5	1	0.5
Total	293409	11130	3.8	640	0.2
Service Area 4					
Wilshire La Brea E.	16209	536	3.3	2	0.0
Hollywood	23914	724	3.0	2	0.0
Pico Heights	17790	697	3.9	0	0.0
Echo Park	32832	586	1.8	4	0.0
Highland Park	41840	978	2.3	9	0.0
Downtown	33334	563	1.7	4	0.0
USC N.	3961	64	1.6	0	0.0
West Adams	1446	81	5.6	0	0.0
West Hollywood	3991	91	2.3	2	0.1
Other	424	11	2.5	0	0.0
Total	175741	4330	2.5	23	0.0
Service Area 5					
Brentwood S.	8052	121	1.5	0	0.0
West LA	17986	461	2.6	3	0.0
Wilshire La Brea W.	2951	97	3.3	0	0.0
Baldwin Hills W.	2219	124	5.6	0	0.0
Playa Vista	15621	719	4.6	1	0.0
Santa Monica-Culver City-Beverly Hills	19127	438	2.3	12	0.1
Malibu	1735	65	3.7	1	0.0
Other	57	2	4.1	0	0.0
Total	67747	2027	3.0	18	0.0
Service Area 6					
USC S.	13412	216	1.6	0	0.0
Baldwin Hills S.	21290	1191	5.6	1	0.0
Hancock N.	24707	1114	4.5	3	0.0
USC E.	29193	850	2.9	5	0.0
Watts	36781	1748	4.8	3	0.0
Florence-Firestone	12446	503	4.0	2	0.0
Lynwood	16651	561	3.4	9	0.1
Paramount	3871	300	7.7	17	0.4
Compton	33894	2788	8.2	41	0.1
Other	1217	28	2.3	1	0.1
Total	193463	9300	4.8	82	0.0

rate of 3.7%. The Pomona area (5.9%) had the highest suspension rate throughout the service area, though this did not translate into the highest expulsion rates. That distinction was held jointly by the Alhambra-Monrovia-Sierra Madre and Glendora-Claremont-San Dimas-La Verne areas at 0.5%, or one out of every two hundred students.

Service Area 4: Metro

Service Area 4 had an overall suspension rate of 2.5%, which was below the countywide rate of 3.7%. The West Adams area (5.6%) had the highest suspension rate in the service area. Very few students are expelled in the service area; the West Hollywood area (0.1%) had the highest rate for this.

Service Area 5: West

Overall, Service Area 5 had a suspension rate of 3.0%, which was below the countywide rate of 3.7%. The Baldwin Hills W. area (5.6%) had the highest suspension rate across the service area. Very few students got expelled in the service area and the Santa Monica-Culver City-Beverly Hills area (0.1%) had the highest rate of school exclusion.

Service Area 6: South

Service Area 6 had an overall suspension rate of 4.8%, which was above the countywide rate of 3.7%. The Compton (8.2%), Paramount (7.7%), and Baldwin Hills S. (5.6%) areas had the highest suspension rates in the service area. Few students were expelled in the service area. The Paramount (0.4%) and the Compton (0.1%) areas had the highest expulsion rates in the service area.

Service Area 7: East

Service Area 7 had an overall suspension rate of 3.5%, which was similar to the countywide rate of 3.7%. The Bellflower (7.7%) and Norwalk (5.4%) areas had the highest suspension rates for the service area. Overall, the service

Table 3.38 continued

Service Area Communities: School Discipline

Service Area	Enrollment	Suspensions	%	Expulsions	%
Service Area 7					
East LA	24523	625	2.5	2	0.0
Downey	29297	1193	4.1	177	0.6
Norwalk	18590	1012	5.4	16	0.1
Whittier	19923	770	3.9	27	0.1
Montebello	29653	1048	3.5	207	0.7
Bell Gardens-Bell-Maywood-Cudahy-Commerce	32543	657	2.0	17	0.1
Huntington Park	21653	876	4.0	4	0.0
South Gate	22073	744	3.4	11	0.1
Bellflower	5111	396	7.7	23	0.4
La Mirada-Santa Fe Springs	28429	1184	4.2	29	0.1
Lakewood-Cerritos-Artesia-Hawaiian Gardens	38030	1010	2.7	17	0.0
Signal Hill	1912	23	1.2	0	0.0
Other	1145	37	3.3	2	0.1
Total	272881	9575	3.5	532	0.2
Service Area 8					
Hancock S.	1438	65	4.5	0	0.0
Wilmington	32420	941	2.9	8	0.0
Inglewood	19071	785	4.1	5	0.0
Torrance	25428	707	2.8	39	0.2
Long Beach N.	22897	278	1.2	5	0.0
Long Beach S.	29093	393	1.4	14	0.0
Long Beach E.	28882	253	0.9	4	0.0
Carson	19630	1113	5.7	2	0.0
Palos Verdes-Lomita	18647	226	1.2	5	0.0
Redondo-Manhattan-Hermosa-El Segundo	18665	439	2.4	10	0.1
Gardena-Lawndale	31057	2613	8.4	66	0.2
Hawthorne	22063	1453	6.6	60	0.3
Other	1091	60	5.5	1	0.1
Total	270382	9325	3.4	219	0.1

1. Suspensions	3-5%
	5-7%
	> 7%
2. Expulsions	> 0.5

area had an expulsion rate of 0.2%, with the Montebello area (0.7%) having the highest rate, followed by the Downey area (0.6%).

Service Area 8: South Bay

Service Area 8 had an overall suspension rate of 3.4%, which was a bit below the countywide rate of 3.7%. The Gardena-Lawndale (8.4%) area had the highest suspension rate, followed by the Hawthorne area (6.6%) and the Carson area (5.7%). The Hawthorne area (0.3%) had the highest expulsion rate in the service area followed by the Gardena-Lawndale area (0.2%) and the Torrance area (0.2%).

Juvenile Felony Arrests

Description of Indicator

This indicator reports the number of juvenile felony arrests by ethnicity. Because of the differences in geographies of the law enforcement agencies reporting these data, it was not possible to map or summarize across service areas or communities.

Research Base and Relevance to PEI

This indicator shows in actual numbers the population of youth that have been arrested under the suspicion of committing a serious crime. This number does not capture the entire population who may enter the justice system for lesser offenses.

What the Numbers Show

Of the 23,787 youths arrested on felony charges during the reporting period, 84.2% were males, 15.8%, females. Ethnically, Hispanic youths were involved in the majority of felony arrests (57.2%), followed by Black/African-American youths (30.3%) and Whites (9.1%). All other ethnicities combined ac-

Table 3.39a

Juvenile Felony Arrests by Ethnicity

Ethnicity	M	%	F	%	Total	%
Asian	23	62.2	14	37.8	37	100.0
Black	5931	82.4	1269	17.6	7200	100.0
Chinese	53	82.8	11	17.2	64	100.0
Cambodian	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0
Filipino	32	72.7	12	27.3	44	100.0
Gaumanian	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hispanic	11775	86.5	1835	13.5	13610	100.0
American Indian	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0
Japanese	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
Korean	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0
Laotian	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Other	511	82.4	109	17.6	620	100.0
Pacific Islander	21	72.4	8	27.6	29	100.0
Samoan	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0
Hawaiian	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Vietnamese	9	75.0	3	25.0	12	100.0
White	1669	77.5	484	22.5	2153	100.0
Total	20039	84.2	3748	15.8	23787	100.0

counted for less than 4% of the juvenile felony arrests.

Figure 3.40 Juvenile Felony Arrests by Ethnicity




Table 3.39b
Juvenile Felony Arrests by Jurisdiction¹

Jurisdiction	Asian	African American	Chinese	Cambodian	Filipino	Guamanian	Hispanic
Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept Unincorporated	0	476	0	0	2	0	972
Alhambra	0	2	10	0	0	0	63
Arcadia	10	6	18	0	0	0	28
Artesia	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
Avalon	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Azusa	0	5	0	0	0	0	26
Baldwin Park	0	6	2	0	3	0	93
Bell	0	1	0	0	0	0	70
Bellflower	0	39	1	0	0	0	59
Bell Gardens	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
El Camino Community College	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
La Habra Heights	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Los Angeles Union Pacific RR	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Metropolitan Trans. Authority (MTA)	0	93	0	0	1	0	38
Pasadena Comm. College	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westlake Village	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Beverly Hills	0	7	0	0	0	0	3
Bradbury	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Burbank	6	11	0	0	2	0	94
Claremont	1	5	0	0	0	0	11
Commerce	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
Compton	0	221	0	0	0	0	147
Covina	0	13	0	0	0	0	99
Cudahy	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
Culver City	0	69	0	0	1	0	58
Cerritos	0	25	1	0	3	0	37
Agoura Hills	0	3	0	0	0	0	1
West Hollywood	0	6	0	0	0	0	4
Santa Clarita	0	12	0	0	0	0	71
Diamond Bar	0	8	3	0	0	0	16
Downey	0	31	0	0	0	0	142
Duarte	0	5	0	0	0	0	12
El Monte	0	8	3	1	0	0	240
El Segundo	0	11	0	0	0	0	12
Gardena	0	65	0	0	0	0	67
Glendale	5	13	1	0	10	0	188
Glendora	0	1	0	0	0	0	33
Hawaiian Gardens	0	2	0	0	0	0	39
Hawthorne	1	126	0	0	0	0	124
Hermosa Beach	0	2	0	0	3	0	2
Calabasas	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Malibu	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Los Angeles Transit Serv. Bureau	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Huntington Park	0	6	0	0	0	0	157
Industry	0	3	4	0	1	0	57
Inglewood	0	122	0	0	0	0	61
Irwindale	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Lakewood	0	41	0	0	1	0	76
La Mirada	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
La Puente	0	3	0	0	0	0	33
La Verne	0	2	0	0	0	0	13
Lawndale	0	29	0	0	0	0	38
Los Angeles City San Fernando Valley	0	179	0	0	2	0	1279

American Indian	Japanese	Korean	Laotian	Other	Pacific Islander	Samoan	Hawaiian	Vietnamese	White	Total
1	0	0	0	27	2	0	0	0	162	3284
0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	3	176
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	25	182
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	38
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	76
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	14	238
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	144
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	10	220
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	206
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	264
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6
0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	23	70
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	62	352
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	58
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	740
0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	23	276
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	90
0	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	13	292
2	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	4	170
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	34
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	28
0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	111	394
0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	9	88
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	372
0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	44
0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	9	534
0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	16	84
0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	7	296
0	1	2	0	14	1	0	0	0	111	692
0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	32	138
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	84
0	0	0	0	6	4	0	0	0	15	552
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	24
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	15	34
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	14
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	328
0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	4	162
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	370
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	26
0	0	0	0	7	4	0	0	0	28	314
0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	16	74
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	76
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	56
0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	8	154
0	0	0	0	59	1	0	0	0	233	3506

Table 3.39b continued
 Juvenile Felony Arrests by Jurisdiction¹

Jurisdiction	Asian	African American	Chinese	Cambodian	Filipino	Guamanian	Hispanic
Los Angeles City Non San Fernando Valley	0	1792	0	0	4	0	2417
Lomita	0	4	0	0	1	0	12
Long Beach	2	576	5	1	0	0	442
Los Angeles	0	1971	0	0	6	0	3696
Lynwood	0	48	0	0	0	0	135
Manhattan Beach	0	14	0	0	0	0	8
Maywood	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
Monrovia	0	6	0	0	0	0	24
Montebello	2	1	1	0	0	0	99
Monterey Park	0	0	2	0	0	0	51
Norwalk	0	27	0	0	0	0	150
Palmdale	0	266	0	0	0	0	201
Palos Verdes Estates	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
Paramount	0	32	0	0	1	0	93
Pasadena	3	135	0	0	0	0	163
Pico Rivera	0	2	0	0	0	0	109
Pomona	2	58	0	0	0	0	244
Redondo Beach	0	34	0	0	0	0	38
Rolling Hills	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Rolling Hills Estates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rosemead	0	0	1	0	0	0	31
San Dimas	0	8	0	0	0	0	13
San Fernando	0	1	0	0	0	0	37
San Gabriel	0	0	8	0	0	0	33
San Marino	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Santa Fe Springs	0	1	0	0	0	0	44
Santa Monica	1	32	0	0	0	0	45
Signal Hill	0	12	0	0	0	0	15
South El Monte	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
South Gate	0	6	0	0	0	0	192
South Pasadena	1	7	0	0	0	0	9
Temple City	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Torrance	0	48	0	0	0	0	79
Vernon	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
Walnut	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
West Covina	0	22	3	0	1	0	114
Whittier	2	3	0	0	0	0	92
Carson	0	83	0	0	2	0	63
Cal Poly - Pomona	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Rancho Palos Verdes	0	2	0	0	0	0	9
CSU Dominguez Hills	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
CSU Northridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
La Canada-Flintridge	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
Lancaster	0	341	0	0	0	0	114
UC Los Angeles	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles CHP	1	3	0	0	0	0	34
Total	37	7200	64	2	44	0	13610

American Indian	Japanese	Korean	Laotian	Other	Pacific Islander	Samoan	Hawaiian	Vietnamese	White	Total
0	0	0	0	69	0	0	0	0	104	8772
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	11	58
2	0	0	0	101	1	2	0	0	114	2492
0	0	0	0	128	1	0	0	0	337	12278
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	372
0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	30	114
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	68
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9	80
0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	220
0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	112
0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	7	378
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	97	1128
1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	12	38
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	260
0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	16	640
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	228
0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	18	652
0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	36	220
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	8
0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	3	108
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	68
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	80
0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	96
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	94
0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	12	188
0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	5	86
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	38
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	396
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	50
0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	7	48
0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	53	414
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	28
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	14	318
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	16	228
0	0	0	0	4	11	0	0	0	11	348
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	12	52
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	8
0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	34
0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	112	1140
0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	8
0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	6	102
6	3	5	0	620	29	2	0	12	2153	47574

1. Actual Arrests

> 500

Youths on Probation

Description of Indicator

This indicator is a count of youth on probation in Los Angeles County as of January 2008. Figures are reported for the youth detained in probation camps and others at home or in placement, (e.g., residential treatment) under probation supervision.

Research Base and Relevance to PEI

It is important to track the number of youth currently on various forms of probation because they reflect roughly two-thirds of all youth initially referred to the Probation Department for disposition (McCrosky, 2006). The overwhelming majority of youths arrested in Los Angeles County are referred to the Probation Department (99.2% in 2003; McCrosky, 2006), so the probation numbers are good indicators of juvenile justice involvement.

What the Numbers Show

Table 3.40 indicated that the countywide camp population was similar in composition to the Juvenile Felony Arrest data. Hispanic

youths were a majority of the camp population (58.6%), followed by Black/African-American youths (33.7%) and Whites (5.8%). All other ethnicities accounted for less than 2% of the entire camp population of 2,082 youths. Service Areas 6 (27.2% or about one in four) and 8 (16.3%) had the most youths consigned to camps.

The relative percentages of youths under supervision by the Probation Department again revealed a similar pattern: Hispanic youths were a majority under supervision (55.2%), followed by African-American (23.2%) and Whites (8.4%). Ethnicity was unknown for 9.5% of the population and the remaining ethnicities accounted for less than 4% of the population. Service Areas 6 and 8 had the highest numbers of youths under supervision.

Table 3.41
Countywide Summary: Supervised Youths¹

Service	Am Ind	Asian Ind	Afr Am	Cambod	Chinese	Filipino	Hawaiian	Hispanic	Japanese	Korean	Laotian
1	1		618					469	1		
2			200		4	7		1388	2	5	
3			370	2	31	8	1	2184	5	0	
4		1	146		1	6	0	1078		2	
5	1		97					147			
6			1707		0	5		1562			1
7	2		186	0	3	4		2293	0		
8			1164	4	4	17	1	1444	1		1
Invalid	1		58			1		254			
Total	5	1	4545	6	43	48	2	10819	9	7	2

Table 3.40
Countywide Summary: Camp Population

Service Area	Black	Hispanic	White	Camb	Filipino	Japanese	Viet	Pacific Is	Oth Asian	Other Unknown	Total
1	106	53	22							1	182
2	20	144	29		1				1	2	197
3	49	193	23		1	1			1	9	278
4	27	191	2		0				1	1	222
5	20	25	4							2	51
6	314	251	0		1			0	0	1	567
7	15	212	16						1	0	244
8	151	153	24	1			1	4	1	5	340
Total	702	1221	120	1	3	1	1	5	4	22	2082

1. Actual counts	197
	278
	567

Service Area Communities (Tables 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 3.43)

Service Area 1: Antelope Valley Camp Population

Both the Lancaster and Palmdale areas have relatively large numbers of youths sent to camp. Service Area 1 had twice as many African-American youth in camp as Hispanic youth. African-American youths accounted

for 58.2% of the camp population, Hispanics (29.1%), and Whites (12.1%).

Supervised Youths

Similar to the Camp census, African-American youths under supervision (618) were greater in number than Hispanic youths (469) in the service area. Both of the urban areas within the service area had over

Oth Asian	Other	Pacific Is	Samoan	Unknown	Viet	White	Total
1	10			1		208	1309
2	71			5	1	510	2194
20	109	2		6	1	303	3042
2	32	2		1		34	1304
2	22			5		75	349
2	19	2	1	4		20	3322
8	33	2	0	4		192	2726
13	206	13	4	7		301	3180
	47		1	1822		5	2189
50	548	21	6	1855	2	1647	19616

1. Actual counts

500-1000
1001-1500
> 1500

Table 3.42

Service Area Communities: Camp Population (percent of population)¹

Within Service Area 1	Afr Am	Hispanic	White	Camb	Filipino	Japanese	Pac Is	Viet Oth	Asian	Other Unknown	Total
Lancaster	65.4	25.6	7.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	100
Palmdale	53.2	32.9	13.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
North County E.	47.8	30.2	22.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Total	58.2	29.1	12.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	100
Within Service Area 2											
Santa Clarita	5.8	51.4	42.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Burbank	10.8	33.3	44.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.3	100
Glendale	0.0	58.3	25.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.4	0.0	100
Northridge	24.4	64.1	11.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Granada Hills	12.4	74.5	13.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Pacoima-Arleta	13.3	86.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
La Tuna Cyn.	0.2	81.1	18.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Panorama City	8.3	87.4	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
North Hollywood	28.0	58.2	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Sherman Oaks	5.5	89.3	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Encino	6.8	79.7	13.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Woodland Hills	11.2	66.3	11.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.9	100
Brentwood N.	6.5	93.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
North County W.	44.1	20.5	35.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
La Canada-Flintridge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
San Fernando-Calabasas-Agoura	12.5	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Total	10.2	73.0	14.8	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.0	0.0

500 youths under supervision.

Service Area 2: San Fernando Camp Population

Service Area 2 had 196 youths in camp. A large majority of these were Hispanic youth, who accounted for 73% of the population, followed by Whites (14.8%), and African-Americans (10.2%).

Supervised Youths

Across the service area, the Santa Clarita and Panorama City areas had the largest numbers of youths under supervision. Within the service area, 63.3% of youths under supervision were Hispanic, 23.2% were White, and 9.1% were African-American. The San Fernando Valley had the largest group of White youths under supervision across the county.

Service Area 3: San Gabriel Camp Population

Service Area 3 had a total of 278 youths sent to camp with the Pomona and Baldwin Park-Azusa-Duarte areas consigning the most numbers. Of these, 69.3% were Hispanic, 17.6% were African-American, and 8.4% were White.

Supervised Youths

Service Area 3 had over 3,000 youths under supervision and a majority of these were Hispanic (71.7%). This was followed by African-American youth (12.2%) and White youth (9.9%). The largest Asian group, Chinese youth, accounted for 1% of the youths under supervision. Within the service area, the Baldwin Park-Azusa-Duarte area had the most numbers of youth under supervision,

Between Service Area 1	Afr Am	Hispanic	White	Camb	Filipino	Japanese	Pac Is	Viet Oth Asian	Other Unknown	Total
Lancaster	52.5	41.1	30.3						99.3	46.8
Palmdale	37.1	45.9	46.7						0.0	40.6
North County E.	10.3	13.1	23.0						0.7	12.6
Total	100	100	100						100	100
Between Service Area 2										
Santa Clarita	4.8	6.0	24.7		0.0			0.0	0.0	8.5
Burbank	4.8	2.1	13.7		0.0			0.0	50.0	4.5
Glendale	0.0	4.9	10.3		100.0			100.0	0.0	6.1
Northridge	10.6	3.9	3.5		0.0			0.0	0.0	4.4
Granada Hills	4.7	3.9	3.4		0.0			0.0	0.0	3.8
Pacoima-Arleta	16.4	14.8	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0	12.5
La Tuna Cyn.	0.2	14.0	15.9		0.0			0.0	0.0	12.6
Panorama City	9.8	14.3	3.4		0.0			0.0	0.0	11.9
North Hollywood	24.1	7.0	8.2		0.0			0.0	0.0	8.8
Sherman Oaks	5.5	12.4	3.6		0.0			0.0	0.0	10.1
Encino	4.9	8.0	6.7		0.0			0.0	0.0	7.3
Woodland Hills	5.1	4.2	3.6		0.0			0.0	50.0	4.7
Brentwood N.	0.5	1.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.8
North County W.	5.2	0.3	2.9		0.0			0.0	0.0	1.2
La Canada-Flintridge	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0
San Fernando-Calabasas-Agoura	3.3	3.2	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0	2.7
Total	100	100	100		100			100	100	100

followed by the Pomona and El Monte areas.

Service Area 4: Metro Camp Population

Service Area 4 had a total of 222 youths consigned to camp, with the greatest numbers coming from the Echo Park, Highland Park and Downtown areas. The overwhelming majority of these youths were Hispanic (85.7%), followed by African-Americans (12.3%).

Supervised Youths

Over 1,000 youths in Service Area 4 were under supervision and a large majority of these individuals were Hispanic (82.7%). This was followed by African-American youths (11.2%) and White youth (2.6%). The Highland Park area had the largest number

of youths under supervision, followed by the Downtown and Echo Park areas.

Service Area 5: West Camp Population

Across the county, Service Area 5 had the fewest youths consigned to camp: 51.

Supervised Youths

In terms of supervision, Service Area 5 also had, by far, the lowest numbers of youth on probation: 349. Ethnically, Hispanic youths accounted for 42.1% of the population, African-Americans, 27.8%, and Whites, 21.5%.

Service Area 6: South Camp Population

Across the county, Service Area 6 had the largest numbers of youth sent to camp: 567; the majority of these were African-Americans (55.4%), followed by Hispanic

Table 3.42 continued

Service Area Communities: Camp Population

Within Service Area 3	Afr Am	Hispanic	White	Camb	Filipino	Japanese	Pac Is	VietOth	Asian	Other Unknown	Total
Pasadena	52.5	44.1	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
El Monte	3.6	96.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Pomona	18.9	71.7	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	100
West Covina	30.1	57.4	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Altadena-Monrovia-Sierra Madre	41.5	36.0	21.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	100
Alhambra-S. Pasadena	0.0	58.7	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.5	100
Arcadia-San Gabriel-Temple City-San Marino	0.0	52.9	23.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.6	100
Baldwin Park-Azusa-Duarte	4.4	83.7	6.8	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7	100
Glendora-Claremont-San Dimas-La Verne	25.8	46.1	27.8	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Covina-Walnut	21.0	64.7	1.3	0.0	6.5	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Diamond Bar	16.5	66.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.5	100
La Puente-S. El Monte	0.0	98.6	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	100
Hacienda Heights	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Monterey Park-Rosemead	0.0	74.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.4	16.9	100
Other	26.0	54.8	19.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Total	17.6	69.3	8.4	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	3.2	100
Within Service Area 4											
Wilshire La Brea E.	46.1	51.3	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	100
Hollywood	5.8	92.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	100
Pico Heights	15.4	79.8	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	100
Echo Park	7.2	92.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	100
Highland Park	3.6	92.8	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	100
Downtown	7.2	92.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	100
USC N.	23.9	76.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
West Adams	58.3	39.3	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	100
West Hollywood	22.8	77.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Other	9.7	90.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Total	12.3	85.7	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5	100
Within Service Area 5											
Brentwood S.	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
West LA	47.1	37.0	12.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	100
Wilshire La Brea W.	46.0	29.7	21.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	100
Baldwin Hills W.	62.2	25.1	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.2	100
Playa Vista	30.9	66.1	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Santa Monica-Culver City-Beverly Hills	29.3	64.1	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	100
Malibu	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
Other	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Total	40.0	48.7	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	100

youth (44.2%). The Hancock N. area had the largest population of youth in camp (108) across the county. This was followed closely by the Watts area (98), the Compton area (94), and the USC E. area (87). Combined, these four communities had more youths in camp than any single service area.

Supervised Youths

Service Area 6 had the highest numbers of

supervised youth across the county: 3,322. Of these, a majority were African-American (51.4%), followed by Hispanic youth at 47%. No other ethnicity exceeded 1% of the population. Three communities had over 500 youths under supervision: the Watts area (714), the Compton area (651), and the Hancock N. area (584).

Between Service Area 3	Afr Am	Hispanic	White	Camb	Filipino	Japanese	Pac Is	Viet Oth Asian	Other Unknown	Total	
Pasadena	25.9	5.5	3.6		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	8.7	
El Monte	2.0	14.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	10.1	
Pomona	20.3	19.6	12.9		0.0	0.0		0.0	22.3	19.0	
West Covina	9.8	4.7	8.5		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	5.7	
Altadena-Monrovia-Sierra Madre	17.3	3.8	19.1		0.0	0.0		0.0	1.3	7.3	
Alhambra-S. Pasadena	0.0	2.2	4.3		0.0	0.0		0.0	22.3	2.6	
Arcadia-San Gabriel-Temple City-San Marino	0.0	1.0	3.8		0.0	0.0		0.0	9.8	1.3	
Baldwin Park-Azusa-Duarte	3.7	18.0	12.1		6.9	6.9		0.0	22.0	14.9	
Glendora-Claremont-San Dimas-La Verne	12.9	5.9	29.2		3.6	3.6		0.0	0.1	8.8	
Covina-Walnut	5.9	4.6	0.8		89.5	89.5		0.0	0.0	5.0	
Diamond Bar	2.0	2.1	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	100.0	2.2
La Puente-S. El Monte	0.0	12.9	1.4		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.3	0.0	9.1
Hacienda Heights	0.0	1.0	4.2		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
Monterey Park-Rosemead	0.0	4.5	0.0		0.0	0.0		100.0	21.8	0.0	4.2
Other	0.1	0.0	0.1		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Total	100	100	100		100	100		100	100	100	100
Between Service Area 4											
Wilshire La Brea E.	38.2	6.1	21.5		0.0			0.0	9.9		10.2
Hollywood	5.3	12.2	0.0		0.0			0.0	47.5		11.3
Pico Heights	13.3	9.9	32.4		0.0			0.0	39.3		10.7
Echo Park	12.7	23.4	0.0		0.0			10.2	0.3		21.7
Highland Park	6.1	22.6	43.2		100.0			68.3	0.0		20.9
Downtown	12.1	22.3	1.0		0.0			21.5	0.0		20.7
USC N.	5.2	2.4	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		2.7
West Adams	6.4	0.6	1.9		0.0			0.0	3.1		1.3
West Hollywood	0.5	0.3	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.3
Other	0.1	0.2	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.2
Total	100	100	100		100			100	100		100
Between Service Area 5											
Brentwood S.	0.0	0.1	0.0						0.0		0.1
West LA	44.6	28.9	62.7						36.9		38.0
Wilshire La Brea W.	8.4	4.5	21.4						5.1		7.3
Baldwin Hills W.	11.5	3.8	6.5						11.8		7.4
Playa Vista	13.0	22.9	6.7						0.0		16.9
Santa Monica-Culver City-Beverly Hills	22.1	39.8	2.6						46.2		30.2
Malibu	0.0	0.0	0.0						0.0		0.0
Other	0.4	0.0	0.0						0.0		0.2
Total	100	100	100						100		100

Service Area 7: East Camp Population

Service Area 7 had 244 youths who were sent to camp; of these, 86.8% were Hispanic, followed by Whites (6.4%) and African-Americans (5.9%). The Bell Gardens-Bell-Maywood-Cudahy-Commerce area had the greatest number of youths sent to camp (35), followed closely by the East LA area (33) and

the Montebello area (33).

Supervised Youths

Service Area 7 had 2,726 youths under supervision. Hispanic youths were a large majority of these individuals (84.1%), followed distantly by Whites (7.0%) and African-Americans (6.8%). Although the aggregate community of Bell Gardens-Bell-Maywood-Cudahy-Commerce had the largest number

Table 3.42 continued

Service Area Communities: Camp Population

Within Service Area 6	Afr Am	Hispanic	White	Camb	Filipino	Japanese	Pac Is	Viet Oth	Asian	Other	Unknown	Total
USC S.	49.2	49.8	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Baldwin Hills S.	74.9	24.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	100
Hancock N.	70.4	28.9	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
USC E.	34.8	65.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Watts	52.4	47.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Florence-Firestone	42.0	58.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Lynwood	33.5	66.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Paramount	38.5	61.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Compton	56.6	43.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Other	83.6	13.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	100
Total	55.4	44.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	
Within Service Area 7												
East LA	5.0	94.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Downey	12.5	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Norwalk	4.3	91.3	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Whittier	0.0	85.4	14.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Montebello	0.0	99.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	100
Bell Gardens-Bell-Maywood-Cudahy-Commerce	0.2	91.5	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	100
Huntington Park	17.8	82.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
South Gate	0.1	95.6	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Bellflower	21.1	63.2	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
La Mirada-Santa Fe Springs	6.5	67.7	25.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Lakewood-Cerritos-Artesia-Hawaiian Gardens	16.6	58.1	25.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Signal Hill	55.6	33.3	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Other	3.3	96.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Total	5.9	86.8	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	100
Within Service Area 8												
Hancock S.	54.7	40.8	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Wilmington	7.2	85.0	7.8	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Inglewood	60.2	36.7	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Torrance	0.5	98.8	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Long Beach N.	53.1	33.6	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	2.7	3.6	0.9	100
Long Beach S.	47.1	38.7	8.1	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	3.5	0.6	100
Long Beach E.	25.5	35.5	34.5	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Carson	42.5	38.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.9	0.0	0.0	6.6	1.2	100
Palos Verdes-Lomita	42.4	56.6	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Redondo-Manhattan-Hermosa-El Segundo	0.0	21.0	79.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Gardena-Lawndale	60.3	32.7	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Hawthorne	41.8	55.1	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Other	51.7	45.4	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
Total	44.2	45.0	7.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.3	0.3	1.5	0.3	100
County Total	33.7	58.6	5.8	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	1.1	0.1	100

of probationers, virtually all of the service area communities, save the Signal Hill area, had at least two hundred youths under supervision.

Service Area 8: South Bay Camp Population

Service Area 8 had the second-highest count of youth consigned to camp: 340. Three communities accounted for 57% of this total: about 21% of these youths came from the

Between Service Area 6	Afr Am	Hispanic	White	Camb	Filipino	Japanese	Pac Is	VietOth	Asian	Other Unknown	Total
USC S.	4.4	5.6	0.0		27.3					0.0	4.9
Baldwin Hills S.	18.7	7.5	0.0		0.3					80.8	13.8
Hancock N.	24.1	12.4	0.0		72.4					0.0	19.0
USC E.	9.7	22.7	0.0		0.0					0.0	15.4
Watts	16.3	18.6	0.0		0.0					0.0	17.3
Florence-Firestone	3.6	6.3	0.0		0.0					0.0	4.8
Lynwood	2.9	7.1	0.0		0.0					0.0	4.7
Paramount	1.6	3.2	0.0		0.0					0.0	2.3
Compton	16.9	16.2	100.0		0.0					0.0	16.6
Other	1.8	0.4	0.0		0.0					19.2	1.2
Total	100	100	100		100					100	100
Between Service Area 7											
East LA	11.4	14.7	0.3				0.0		0.0	0.0	13.4
Downey	13.8	6.6	0.0				0.0		0.0	0.0	6.5
Norwalk		0	0								0
Whittier	0.0	7.2	16.6				0.0		0.0	0.0	7.3
Montebello	0	1									1
Bell Gardens-Bell-Maywood-Cudahy-Commerce	0.4	15.2	12.4				0.0		0.0	95.6	14.4
Huntington Park	18.9	6.0	0.0				0.0		0.0	0.0	6.3
South Gate	0.2	10.4	6.4				0.0		0.0	0.0	9.5
Bellflower	27.6	5.7	12.7				99.9		0.0	0.0	7.8
La Mirada-Santa Fe Springs	6.9	5.0	25.5				0.0		0.0	0.0	6.3
Lakewood-Cerritos-Artesia-Hawaiian Gardens	13.7	3.3	19.2				0.1		3.9	0.1	4.9
Signal Hill	0.1	0.0	0.0				0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	0.2	0.4	0.0				0.0		0.0	0.0	0.4
Total	100	100	100				100		100	100	100
Between Service Area 8											
Hancock S.	1.4	1.0	0.7	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
Wilmington	1.6	18.7	10.9	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
Inglewood	12.8	7.7	4.2	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.4
Torrance	0.0	2.2	0.1	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Long Beach N.	13.0	8.1	5.2	0.0			25.0	0.0	100.0	26.7	33.4
Long Beach S.	21.8	17.6	23.7	67.8			0.0	67.8	0.0	49.0	44.9
Long Beach E.	2.4	3.4	20.9	32.2			0.0	32.2	0.0	0.0	4.2
Carson	5.2	4.6	0.4	0.0			50.0	0.0	0.0	24.4	21.7
Palos Verdes-Lomita	0.6	0.8	0.1	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
Redondo-Manhattan-Hermosa-El Segundo	0.0	0.5	12.5	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
Gardena-Lawndale	22.9	12.2	12.7	0.0			24.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.8
Hawthorne	17.4	22.6	8.1	0.0			0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.4
Other	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
Total	100	100	100	100			100	100	100	100	100

1. > 50%

Long Beach S. area, 19% from the Hawthorne area, and 17% from the Gardena-Lawndale area. No ethnic group formed a majority of probationers: Hispanic youths (45%), African-American youths (44.2%), and White youths (7%).

Supervised Youths

Service Area 8 had 3,180 youths under supervision, second only to Service Area 6. Within the service area, the Long Beach S. area had the largest number of youth under supervision: 891 (highest total in the county). Three

Table 3.43
Service Area Communities: Supervised Youths (actual population counts)¹

Service Area 1 Communities	Am Ind	Asian Ind	Afri Am	Cambod	Chinese	Filipino	Hawaiian
Lancaster			298				
Palmdale	1		253				
North County E.	0		67				
Total	1	0	618	0	0	0	0
Service Area 2 Communities							
Santa Clarita			30				
Burbank			10		1	2	
Glendale			5		3	4	
Northridge			12				
Granada Hills			11				
Pacoima-Arleta			16				
La Tuna Cyn.			12		0	1	
Panorama City			16				
North Hollywood			16			0	
Sherman Oaks			21				
Encino			16				
Woodland Hills			17				
Brentwood N.			5				
North County W.			10				
La Canada-Flintridge			0		0		
San Fernando-Calabasas-Agoura			3				
Total	0	0	200	0	4	7	0
Service Area 3 Communities							
Pasadena			123			0	0
El Monte			2		4		
Pomona			53	1			
West Covina			20		0	4	
Altadena-Monrovia-Sierra Madre			74		0		
Alhambra-S. Pasadena			6	1	6	1	1
Arcadia-San Gabriel-Temple City-San Marino			10	0	13		0
Baldwin Park-Azusa-Duarte			20		0	1	
Glendora-C Claremont-San Dimas-La Verne			31			0	
Covina-Walnut			14		0	1	
Diamond Bar			3	0	1	1	
La Puente-S. El Monte			6		1	0	
Hacienda Heights			1				
Monterey Park-Rosemead			7		6	0	
Other			0			0	
Total	0	0	370	2	31	8	1

Hispanic	Japanese	Korean	Laotian	Oth Asian	Other	Pacific Is	Samoan	Unknown	Vietnam	White	Total
128					4					94	524
275	1			1	5			1		72	609
65	0			0	1			0		42	176
469	1	0	0	1	10	0	0	1	0	208	1309
146	1				7				1	122	308
66					8			0		69	156
80	1	3		0	11			1		58	166
50					3					26	91
90					4				0	22	127
164					2					5	188
130	0	0			6			1		26	175
181					0					6	202
117					5					14	152
122					5					23	170
83					6					23	128
78					7			1		29	132
13					3					7	28
33	0				3			2	0	36	84
7	0	2		2	2					13	26
28				0	1					29	61
1388	2	5	0	2	71	0	0	5	1	509	2193
140				0	3				0	11	278
280	1			0	9					6	302
295				2	5			1		21	378
137				1	8	0		1		18	188
95	2	0		0	4					29	204
67				4	8			2	0	11	107
65	0			4	15			0	1	29	136
380	1			1	4	1		0		22	430
106				0	11			0		104	252
143				0	5	0		2		24	189
49				4	6					6	69
266				0	3	1		0		10	288
51					6	0		0		5	63
111	1			4	22					5	155
1	0			0	0			0		0	2
2183	5	0	0	20	109	2	0	6	1	302	3041

Table 3.43 continued
Service Area Communities: Supervised Youths (actual population counts)¹

Service Area 4 Communities	Am Ind	Asian Ind	Afri Am	Cambod	Chinese	Filipino	Hawaiian
Wilshire La Brea E.		0	39				
Hollywood		0	22				0
Pico Heights		0	10				
Echo Park		0	27			0	1
Highland Park			28			1	5
Downtown			7			0	0
USC N.			5				
West Adams			6				
West Hollywood			1				
Other			0				
Total	0	1	146	0	1	6	0
Service Area 5 Communities							
Brentwood S.			2				
West LA	1		23				
Wilshire La Brea W.			7				
Baldwin Hills W.			6				
Playa Vista			26				
Santa Monica-Culver City-Beverly Hills			32				
Malibu			0				
Other			1				
Total	1	0	97	0	0	0	0
Service Area 6 Communities							
USC S.			70				1
Baldwin Hills S.			225				0
Hancock N.			383				0
USC E.			94				0
Watts			395				1
Florence-Firestone			71				1
Lynwood			57				0
Paramount			49				0
Compton			337			0	2
Other			25				
Total	0	0	1707	0	0	5	0

other communities saw over 300 youths under supervision: the Long Beach N. area (522), the Wilmington area (398), and the Gardena-Lawndale area (347). Ethnically, Hispanic youths accounted for 45.4% of the

probationers under supervision, followed by African-Americans, 36.6%, and Whites, 9.5%.

Hispanic	Japanese	Korean	Laotian	Oth Asian	Other	Pacific Is	Samoa	Unknown	Vietnam	White	Total
50				0	2	1		1		7	100
136		1			5	0		0		9	173
99		0		1	8					2	119
205		1		0	5					3	242
308				0	5					11	357
244				1	5	1		0		0	259
25					1			0		0	32
5					0			0		0	12
1		0			1	0				3	6
4					0	0				0	4
1078	0	2	0	2	32	2	0	1	0	34	1304
0				0	2			0		6	10
54					3			1		12	95
5					1			0		2	14
8					0			0		1	15
33					2			2		11	74
47				1	14			2		43	138
				1						1	2
0					0						1
147	0	0	0	2	22	0	0	5	0	75	349
73					1					1	145
100					5			0		3	333
198					1			1		1	584
209				1	0			1		0	305
307				0	4			1		6	714
126				0	1	0		0		1	200
145			0		2			0		0	204
94				1	0	1		0		5	150
300			1	0	4	1	1	1		3	651
9					0					0	35
1562	0	0	1	2	19	2	1	4	0	20	3322

Table 3.43 continued
 Service Area Communities: Supervised Youths (actual population counts)¹

Service Area 7 Communities	Am Ind	Asian Ind	Afri Am	Cambod	Chinese	Filipino	Hawaiian
East LA			8		0		
Downey			19				1
Norwalk	0		19				0
Whittier			3				
Montebello			4		1		
Bell Gardens-Bell-Maywood-Cudahy-Commerce			3		0		0
Huntington Park			15				1
South Gate			4				0
Bellflower	1		56		1		1
La Mirada-Santa Fe Springs			10				
Lakewood-Cerritos-Artesia-Hawaiian Gardens	1		37		1		1
Signal Hill			7	0	0		0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	2	0	186	0	3	4	0
Service Area 8 Communities							
Hancock S.			15				
Wilmington			66				3
Inglewood			135				1
Torrance			12		1		2
Long Beach N.			220	2	2		3
Long Beach S.			335	2	1		4
Long Beach E.			28	0	0		1
Carson			61				3
Palos Verdes-Lomita			8				0
Redondo-Manhattan-Hermosa-El Segundo			4				1
Gardena-Lawndale			194				
Hawthorne			79				
Other	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	1164	4	4	17	1
Total	5	1	4545	6	43	48	2

Hispanic	Japanese	Korean	Laotian	Oth Asian	Other	Pacific Is	Samoan	Unknown	Vietnam	White	Total
265					2					1	276
181				1	4	0		0		23	229
191				0	3					15	228
213				0	0			1		29	248
285				0	1			0		7	298
310					1					5	319
185				0	1			0		2	204
213				0	1	1		1		2	222
109				2	3					18	191
199				1	2			2		47	260
123				3	11	1	0			38	216
8				0	3	0		0		3	21
11	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	14
2293	0	0	0	8	33	2	0	4	0	192	2726
10					0					1	26
282	1			1	11	1	0	1		31	398
89			1		3	0		0		1	230
34					8	1				33	91
203				1	53	4	1	1		31	522
399				9	91		0	4		47	891
36				0	12	0		0		58	135
91	0			1	8	4	2			9	178
24	0			0	3	2		0		14	51
19					3	0		0		53	80
137				1	6	0		0		9	347
114					8	2		1		13	216
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	15
1444	1	0	1	13	206	13	4	7	0	301	3180
10819	9	7	2	50	548	21	6	1855	2	1646	19615

1.

100 – 200

200—300

> 300